

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/381,190	-	11/23/1999	HIROMI YOSHINARI	450106-4749	3610
20999	7590	07/07/2004	7/2004 EXAMINER		NER
		ENCE & HAUG	BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL		
-	AVENUE- 10TH FL. K, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				2631	1
				DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/381,190	YOSHINARI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Kevin M Burd	2631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		[
Period for Reply		·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state and yellow the communication. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repleptly within the statutory minimum of thirty (but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHULE, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 45 from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	April 2004.					
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date promal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Application/Control Number: 09/381,190 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

1. This office action, in response to the request for continued examination and amendment filed 4/14/2004, is a non-final office action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/14/2004 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

3. The previous objection to the specifications is withdrawn in view of the amendment.

Applicant's arguments, see amendment, filed 4/14/2004, with respect to the rejections of claims 1-27 under 35 USC 102(b) and 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Yamamoto (US 5,991,276).

Application/Control Number: 09/381,190

Art Unit: 2631

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-17,19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamamoto (US 5,991,276).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 15, 16 and 19, Yamamoto discloses the method of combining information from a number of user terminals each comprising a user that can join the video conference (column 7, lines 22-25). The method includes inputting a plurality of different signals into a plurality of MPEG Video decoders (figure 7, elements 48a and 48b). The video data, containing "codec information", is combined in video data combiner 50 and encoded in MPEG video encoder 51. This re-encoded data is output. Managers 52, 53 and 54 control the combining of the data as shown in figure 7. Additional information about the decoding, combining and re-encoding of data is found in column 6, line 18 to column 8, line 24.

Regarding claims 2, 9, 17 and 20, the combination of the data streams is done as stated in column 7, line 53 to column 8, line 19.

Regarding claims 3 and 10, the first and second base band signals are reencoded in the combiner 50. Application/Control Number: 09/381,190

Art Unit: 2631

Regarding claims 4 and 11, the encoded data is output of the AAL5 interfaces and the re-encoded data is output to the AAL5 interfaces as shown in figure 6.

Regarding claims 5-7 and 12-14, the inputted bit streams are MPEG video. The video can contain any type of information.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 18 and 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto (US 5,991,276).

Regarding claims 18 and 21, Yamamoto discloses a method of combining compressed video streams as stated above. Yamamoto does not disclose storing the output of the decoders or storing the "codec information". However, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to store this information. If errors were to occur at the output of the system, error checks at each stage of the re-encoding process would be possible. Eliminating the need to check each step of the re-encoding process if the output from each component was known would reduce the error correction process time.

Regarding claims 22-27, Yamamoto discloses the method of combining information from a number of user terminals each comprising a user that can join the

Application/Control Number: 09/381,190

Art Unit: 2631

video conference (column 7, lines 22-25). The method includes inputting a plurality of different signals into a plurality of MPEG Video decoders (figure 7, elements 48a and 48b). The video data, containing "codec information", is combined in video data combiner 50 and encoded in MPEG video encoder 51. This re-encoded data is output. Managers 52, 53 and 54 control the combining of the data as shown in figure 7. Additional information about the decoding, combining and re-encoding of data is found in column 6, line 18 to column 8, line 24. Yamamoto does not disclose storing the output of the decoder nor storing the "codec information" however, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to store this information. If errors were to occur at the output of the system, error checks at each stage of the reencoding process would be possible. Eliminating the need to check every step of the reencoding process if the output from each component was known would reduce the error correction process time.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Boyce et al (US 5,828,421) discloses a method of decoding received signals and re-encoding them for a picture-in-picture application as stated in the abstract. Both signals are MPEG video signals. Rasky et al (US 5,265,122) discloses receiving different signals in antennas 701 and 702, decoding and demodulating to baseband each of the received signals, combining the signals and reencoding the signals as shown in figure 7. Tanaka et al (US 5,991,313) discloses a

Page 5

Page 6

Art Unit: 2631

video transmission apparatus similar to the circuit shown in figure 15 of the instant application.

Contact Information

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry or for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Burd, whose telephone number is (703) 308-7034. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Kevin M. Burd

Herri M Band

PATENT EXAMINER

7/1/2004